

Introduction

Objective and Methodology

The Globe contacted our Insiders to learn more about their thoughts on climate change and their opinions toward Canada's resource extraction.

We reached out to our Globe Insiders to ask them about:

- Their level of agreement/disagreement about climate change
- Their opinions toward fossil-fuel extraction and distribution
- If they would personally invest in companies that extract energy resources
- If they own or are planning to buy an EV
- Who they think is responsible for reducing the effects of climate change
- · Where they turn to learn about climate initiatives

Survey Period:

January 25 – 30, 2023

Survey Participants:

1,510 respondents (42% response rate)

Source: Globe Insiders panel, Globe Energy Security, January 25 - 30, 2022





Summary

Globe readers are informed and have strong opinions about climate change

- 95% get news about climate initiatives from news media
- 94% agree that climate change exists, 87% agree that human activity is behind climate change, 79% say climate change is caused by burning fossilfuels and 67% disagree that climate change is a naturally occuCA0 weather phenomenon

They also have strong opinions about Canada's resource extraction and the nation's role in combatting climate change

- 40% think that all new energy projects should be "clean" or renewable CA1
- 75% of Globe readers think Canada needs to honour its greenhouse gas reduction targets it has made to international bodies
- 70% of Globe readers would prefer to see more regulation by the government over the energy sector

Globe readers also have a realistic attitude about the energy sector's role in society

- 59% agree that Canada should have proceeded with the Trans Mounta pipeline expansion
- 72% agree that oil and gas will continue to be an important part of the global energy system for the foreseeable future
- 55% would personally invest in natural gas producers, 50% in pipelines
- 80% of readers see themselves as a part of the climate change solution

Source: Globe Insiders panel, Globe Energy Security, January 25 - 30, 2022

3

Slide 3

CA0 Is this correct? The wording may be off Consky, Andrew, 2023-02-07T03:45:25.132

CA1 greenhouse Consky, Andrew, 2023-02-07T03:48:23.190

CA2 I would validate with %s Consky, Andrew, 2023-02-07T03:49:23.100